Chief Judge Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 NO. CR19-0010RSM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Plaintiff, STIPULATED MOTION FOR A 12 PROTECTIVE ORDER v. 13 HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD., and (Noted May 3, 2019) HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., 14 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 The United States of America, by and through, Tessa M. Gorman, First Assistant 19 United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington (Acting Under Authority 20 Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515), and Todd Greenberg, Thomas Woods, and Siddharth 21 Velamoor, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, along with Huawei Device 22 Co., Ltd. and Huawei Device USA, Inc., by and through their counsel, Robert 23 Westinghouse, Brian Heberlig, and James Hibey, hereby stipulate to the entry of the 24 protective order that is attached as Exhibit A. 25 In this case, the discovery contains a number of sensitive materials. These 26 materials include details about the technology that is at issue in this case. The materials 27 also include confidential business and financial information regarding T-Mobile and the 28 defendants. The parties, along with T-Mobile, have consulted, and are in agreement that

1	the Court should enter the proposed protective order, which pertains to how certain		
2	sensitive discovery materials should be handled by the parties.		
3			
4	DATED this 3rd day of May, 2019.		
5	Respectfully submitted,		
6	Respectivity submitted,		
7	TESSA M. GORMAN		
	First Assistant United States Attorney (Acting Under Authority Conferred by		
8	28 U.S.C. § 515)		
9			
10	s/ Todd Greenberg		
11	TODD GREENBERG		
12	THOMAS WOODS		
13	SIDDHARTH VELAMOOR Assistant United States Attorneys		
14	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220		
	Seattle, WA 98101-1271		
15			
16	/s/ Robert Westinghouse ROBERT WESTINGHOUSE		
17	JAMES HIBEY		
18	BRIAN M. HEBERLIG		
19	Counsel for the Defendants		
20	(Per email authorization)		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 2 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on May 3, 2019 I electronically filed the foregoing with the 3 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing 4 to the attorney(s) of record for the defendant(s). 5 6 7 s/Jenny Fingles JENNY FINGLES 8 Legal Assistant United States Attorney's Office 9 700 Stewart, Suite 5220 10 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 Phone: 206-553-7970 11 E-mail: jenny.fingles@usdoj.gov 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Chief Judge Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 AT SEATTLE 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR19-0010RSM 12 Plaintiff, PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING 13 **DISCOVERY MATERIALS** v. 14 HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD., and 15 HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., (Proposed) 16 Defendants. 17 18 This matter came before the Court on the government's consent Motion for Protective 19 Order. The Court finds that good cause has been shown and orders as follows: 20 1. This Protective Order governs the production or disclosure of any record or 21 information designated as "Confidential Materials" and produced by a party or its agent 22 ("Furnishing Party") to another party ("Receiving Party") during the course of discovery, pretrial 23 proceedings, or trial in this action, including material provided to the government in response to 24 a subpoena. 25 2. In prior civil discovery in T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Huawei Device USA Inc., 14CV-26 1351RAJ, the parties T-Mobile USA Inc. ("TMO") and Huawei Device USA Inc. ("Huawei 27 USA") produced documents that were subject to a Protective Order. In that matter, certain documents were designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' 28

PROTECTIVE ORDER/HUAWEI DEVICE CO. et al.- 1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- EYES ONLY." "CONFIDENTIAL" was defined as non-public testimony, information, documents, and data that constitute confidential business, technical, or personal information. "CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" was defined as non-public testimony, information, documents, and data that constitute confidential business or technical information, which the Furnishing Party reasonably believes to be highly sensitive because it contains proprietary business, technical, or competitive information. All discovery materials that contain these prior confidentiality designations will be deemed "Confidential Materials" for purposes of this Protective Order.
- 3. To the extent that either party believes in good faith that any other materials that the party produces in discovery may constitute trade secrets and/or confidential and proprietary business information, the Furnishing Party may designate the material as "Confidential Materials."
- 4. Any documents, material, or information designated CONFIDENTIAL or CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY in T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Huawei Device USA *Inc.*, 14CV-1351RAJ, will be produced with the same designations in this case. In the case of any other written, tangible, or documentary discovery material designated as Confidential Materials, the Furnishing Party shall stamp each page of the document "Confidential Materials – Subject to Protective Order" in a manner that is readily distinguishable from any pre-existing confidential designation. While each page of a confidential document and its attachments should be marked, it shall be assumed that a confidential designation on the first page of a document indicates the same confidential designation for the entire document and its attachments, unless otherwise noted. Where electronic files or documents are produced in a format that makes stamping inconvenient or impossible, such files and documents may be designated by appending to the file names a designation indicating that the file contains Confidential Materials. Such files or documents may also be designated in a manner that allows them to be identified by review of the files themselves (e.g., in a data field), and may be produced on separate media based on the designation as Confidential Materials. All "CONFIDENTIAL," "CONFIDENTIAL— ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," and "Confidential Materials – Subject to Protective Order" PROTECTIVE ORDER/HUAWEI DEVICE CO. et al.- 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 markings are for pre-trial use only and all such markings will be removed from any documents used in trial and/or shown to jurors in this matter.

- 5. The parties understand and agree that since this Protective Order is primarily intended to facilitate production of discovery materials and pretrial discussions, negotiations, analysis, and preparation, the defendants and their counsel may choose not to formally challenge the designation of certain material as confidential at the pre-trial stage. Moreover, the parties agree that the confidentiality designations in T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Huawei Device USA Inc., 14CV-1351RAJ, are not binding at trial in this matter. Any failure to challenge the confidential designations of documents produced in discovery does not constitute a waiver by any defendant of the right to challenge that confidential designation at a later time nor does it constitute a waiver by any defendant of the right to contest that all or any portion(s) of any documents designated as confidential constitutes "trade secret" information.
- 6. All Confidential Materials as defined herein shall be used solely for the purpose of this action (including pre-trial investigation, trial preparation, trial, and appeal) and shall not be used for any other purpose. Confidential Materials, except materials that were marked "CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Huawei Device USA *Inc.*, 14CV-1351RAJ, shall be disclosed only to:
- The attorneys of record in this action and their employees or contractors a. (such as photocopy services) who are assisting them in this action;
- b. Independent Experts who have been retained by the party or its attorneys for this action, provided that each Independent Expert first executes an acknowledgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- c. The parties (including officers, directors, in-house attorneys, outside counsel for Huawei, or employees of the parties, as well as law enforcement personnel and employees and contractors of the Department of Justice) who require the information to assist in or evaluate this action, provided that they are advised of and bound by the provisions of this Protective Order;

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 56
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 1920
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 ||
- 2627
- 28

- d. The Court and persons associated with or employed by the Court whose duties require access to the information;
- e. The author of the document, any person identified as a recipient of the document on its face, or any person who actually received it;
- f. Individuals who the parties are considering in good faith to be witnesses at trial and their counsel provided that they are advised of and bound by the provisions of this Protective Order; and
- g. Graphics or design services retained by the parties or counsel who first execute an "Acknowledgement," attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- Materials that were marked "CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in *T-Mobile USA Inc.* v. *Huawei Device USA Inc.*, 14CV-1351RAJ shall be disclosed only to the individuals
- identified in subparagraphs 6(a), 6(b), and 6(d)-(g) above, as well as to (i) the Defendants' in-
- house attorneys, support staff, and others, including paralegals, legal assistants, and secretaries,
- (ii) Defendants' outside counsel in other matters who require the information to evaluate this
- action or advise the Defendants in other matters, and (iii) law enforcement personnel and
- employees and contractors of the Department of Justice, who require the information to assist in
- or evaluate this action, provided that they are advised of and bound by the provisions of this
- Protective Order.
 - 7. The parties agree to designate Confidential Materials in good faith. If any party
- objects to the designation of any information or material as Confidential Materials, including the
- prior designation of materials as CONFIDENTIAL or CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES
- ONLY in T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Huawei Device USA Inc., 14CV-1351RAJ (the "Challenged
- Materials"), the party shall so state the objection by letter or statement ("notice") to counsel for
- the person making the designation. Any such notice shall identify with specificity the
- Challenged Materials to which the objection is directed. The objecting party is not required to
- immediately object to a confidentiality designation, and failure to immediately object does not
- waive the right to object to a designation in the future. Counsel shall confer in good faith in an
- attempt to resolve any disputes concerning such designation. If the status of the Challenged

4

Materials cannot be resolved within five (5) business days after the notice is furnished, the

5 6

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

- objecting party may file an appropriate motion requesting that the Court rule that the Challenged Materials should not be designated as Confidential Materials. Although the party making the designation shall have the burden of proving the appropriateness of the objection, the Challenged Materials will be treated as Confidential Materials pending the Court's ruling on such motion.
- 8. Where only a part of the Confidential Materials furnished or produced by a party or a part of the transcript of any deposition is designated as Confidential Materials, counsel for the party receiving such Confidential Materials or transcript shall redact Confidential Materials before disclosing such Confidential Materials or transcript to any person other than qualified persons as designated in Paragraph 6.
- 9. Nothing herein shall prevent the parties from using the Confidential Materials or from referring to, quoting, or reciting from any information contained in such Confidential Materials in connection with pleadings or motions filed in this case, provided that the pleadings or motions redact all such references and quotations to Confidential Materials, and the unredacted motions and any exhibits reflecting Confidential Materials be filed under seal and/or submitted to the Court for in camera inspection. The procedures for the use of Confidential Materials at trial or pre- or post-trial hearings will be resolved at or before the time of the trial or hearing.
- 10. If a third or non-party witness provides documents in this litigation, such third party may designate such information as Confidential Materials in the same manner as if the third party were a party to this action and this Protective Order. In addition, any party may likewise choose to designate any such third party information in accordance with this Protective Order. All parties will treat such information or documents as Confidential Materials pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order. All third or non-party witness designations shall be subject to challenge in the same manner as designations by parties, pursuant to the procedures in Paragraph 7.
- 11. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, if a Furnishing Party discovers that Confidential Materials have been inadvertently produced without being marked with the PROTECTIVE ORDER/HUAWEI DEVICE CO. et al.- 5

- 12. In the event of an inadvertent disclosure of Confidential Materials by a Receiving Party, the Receiving Party shall upon learning of the disclosure:
- a. immediately notify the person(s) to whom the disclosure was made that it contains Confidential Materials subject to this Order;
- b. immediately make all reasonable efforts to recover the information as well as preclude further dissemination or use by the person to whom disclosure was inadvertently made; and
- c. within five (5) days, notify the Furnishing Party of the identity of the person to whom disclosure was inadvertently made, the circumstances surrounding disclosure, and the steps taken to recover the information and the steps taken to insure against the dissemination or use of the information.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

- 13. If data or information has been extracted from a document or other Confidential Materials which is subsequently reclassified pursuant to Paragraph 11 above, to the extent possible and where necessary, the extracted information and/or data will be expunged promptly and not used. However, to the extent that, prior to being notified of the inadvertent misdesignation of Confidential Materials, the Receiving Party uses in good faith such information and/or data in documents filed with the Court, the Parties agree that exhibits, testimony, or any other information will be re-designated appropriately, but need not be expunged.
- 14. This Protective Order pertains only to Confidential Materials provided by the party or third-party producing it and does not limit the use or disclosure of materials which have been obtained by any party from any other source lawfully possessing such information and not in violation of any obligation of confidentiality with respect thereto.
- 15. Within ninety (90) days of the final conclusion of this litigation and any final appeal thereof, all persons subject to the terms hereof shall destroy or assemble and return to the Furnishing Party all Confidential Materials; except that the government may keep a copy of all court filings, discovery, and other matter, as a record in the event of a collateral challenge to the judgment and/or other future litigation.
- 16. Nothing herein shall prevent any party, on notice to the other party, from requesting a modification of the terms of this Protective Order.
- 17. The entry of this Order, or producing or receiving Confidential Materials or otherwise complying with the terms of this Order shall not prejudice in any way the rights of a Furnishing Party to object to the production of information on any other grounds, including but not limited to, grounds of irrelevance or privilege.
- 18. Nothing in this Protective Order bars or otherwise restricts any outside counsel of record for the parties from rendering advice to his client with respect to this litigation and, in the course thereof, relying upon his or her examination of Confidential Materials, provided that no disclosure of the substance of any such information will be made except to persons permitted access to Confidential Materials as provided in this Protective Order.

- 19. As for the portions of the trial record in *T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Huawei Device* USA Inc., 14CV-1351RAJ, that are under seal, these materials can be possessed, used, and disclosed as discovery by the parties, and may be disclosed to the individuals identified in paragraph 6 in the same manner as Confidential Materials. The materials will otherwise remain under seal during the pre-trial phase of this case. Any such materials that are filed with the Court and any motions referencing such materials shall be filed under seal, according to the procedures in Paragraph 9. The procedures for the use of the portions of the trial record in *T-Mobile USA* Inc. v. Huawei Device USA Inc., 14CV-1351RAJ, that are under seal at trial or pre- or post-trial hearings in this matter will be resolved at or before the time of the trial or hearing.
- 20. Each of the parties named above and their counsel of record undertakes to abide by and be bound by these provisions and to use due care to see that these provisions are known and adhered to by those under its supervision or control. This Order is binding on any successor(s) of the parties to this Action and their counsel of record.
- 21. The provisions of this Order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this prosecution.
- 22. Any intentional violation of any term or condition of this Order by the Defendants, the attorneys of record, any member of the defense team, any attorney for the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington, or any law enforcement personnel and employees and contractors of the Department of Justice may be held in contempt of court, and/or may be subject to monetary or other sanctions as deemed appropriate by this Court.

Case 2:19-cr-00010-RSM Document 45-1 Filed 05/03/19 Page 9 of 9

1	DATED this day of May, 20	019.
2		
3		
4		RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
5	Presented by:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
6	TESSA M. GORMAN	
7	First Assistant United States Attorney	
8	/s/ Todd Greenberg TODD GREENBERG	
9	THOMAS M. WOODS SIDDHARTH VELAMOOR	
10	Assisted United States Attorneys	
11	/s/ Robert Westinghouse	
12	ROBERT WESTINGHOUSE JAMES HIBEY	
13	BRIAN M. HEBERLIG Counsel for the Defendants	
14	Counsel for the Berendants	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PROTECTIVE ORDER/HUAWEI DEVICE CO. et al.- 9